1	Robert A. Sacks (SBN 150146) sacksr@sullcrom.com	
2	Rory P. Culver (SBN 271868) culverr@sullcrom.com	
3	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 1888 Century Park East, Suite 2100	
4	Los Angeles, California 90067 Tel.: (310) 712-6600	
5	Fax: (310) 712-8800	
6	Laura Kabler Oswell (SBN 241281) oswelll@sullcrom.com	
7	SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 1870 Embarcadero Road	
8	Palo Alto, California 94303 Tel.: (650) 461-5600	
9	Fax (650) 461-5700	
10	Attorneys for Defendant Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc.	
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
15	IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)) Master File No. 3:07-5944-SC
16	ANTITRUST LITIGATION)) MDL No. 1917
17) -) STIPULATION RE EXTENSION OF
18	This Document Relates to:	TIME FOR DEFENDANT THOMSONCONSUMER ELECTRONICS, INC. TO
19	Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al., No. 13-cv-01173.) RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
20	et at., 100. 13-cv-01173.))
21))
22))
23		
24		
25))
26		<i>)</i> _)
27 28		
4ð	.l	

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corp. and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of			
America, Inc.(collectively, "Sharp") enter into this Stipulation with Thomson Consumer Electronics, Inc			
(n/k/a Technicolor USA, Inc.) ("Thomson Consumer") concerning the matter entitled <i>Sharp Electronics</i>			
Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al., No. 13-cv-01173, which was related to In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT)			
Antitrust Litigation, No. 07-cv-05944, by an Order of Judge Samuel Conti on March 26, 2013.			
THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:			
WHEREAS, on March 15, 2013, Sharp filed a complaint in the Northern District of			
California alleging antitrust violations by manufacturers, distributors and sellers of CRT and CRT			
Products, captioned Sharp Electronics Corp. et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd. et al., No. 13-cv-01173 (the "Sharp			
Complaint");			
WHEREAS, on March 25, 2013, Sharp served Thomson Consumer with a copy of the			
Sharp Complaint;			
WHEREAS, counsel for Sharp and Thomson Consumer have agreed that Thomson			
Consumer will answer, move, or otherwise respond to the Sharp Complaint on or before May 17, 2013;			
NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a), SHARP AND			
THOMSON CONSUMER, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD,			
HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:			
1. The deadline for Thomson Consumer to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the			
Sharp Complaint shall be extended until May 17, 2013;			
2. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Thomson Consumer, or any entity			
affiliated with Thomson Consumer, of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of			
personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process or service of			
process.			
IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
Dated: May 1, 2013 By: /s/Robert A. Sacks Robert A. Sacks (SBN 150146) Rory P. Culver (SBN 271868) SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 1888 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, California 90067			

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP

1		Tel.: (310) 712-6600 Fax: (310) 712-8800
2		
3		Laura Kabler Oswell (SBN 241281) SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 1870 Embarcadero Road
4 5		Palo Alto, California 94303 Tel.: (650) 461-5600 Fax: (650) 461-5700
6		Attorneys for Defendant Thomson Consumer
7		Electronics, Inc.
8	By:	/s/ Craig A. Benson
9		Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452) Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
10		TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355
11		San Francisco, California 94111 Tel.: (415) 788-8200
12		Fax: (415) 788-8808
13		Kenneth A. Gallo Joseph J. Simons
14		Craig A. Benson PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
15		& GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW
16		Washington, DC 20006 Tel.: (202) 223-7356
17		Fax: (202) 204-7356
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corp. and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing
19		Company of America
	Pursuant to General Order Section X-B, the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this	
20	document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.	
21	Dated: May 1, 2013	/s/ Robert A. Sacks
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2
LLIVAN &	-2- STIPULATION RE EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	

SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP